NCDA
WASHINGTON REPORT

FROM: **CHANDRA WESTERN**
VICKI WATSON
KAREN MEANS
JEFF FALCUSAN
TAMARA JACKSON

DATE: **March 19, 2003**

NCDA Annual Conference: June 25-28, 2003-Washington, DC Marriott Hotel

FEATURED ARTICLES

- ***Senate Committee Holds Hearing on HUD's FY 2004 Budget***
- ***HUD Secretary Martinez Appears Before House Financial Services Committee***
- ***NCDA Provides Feedback on Proposed Lead-Abatement Tax Credit***
- ***GAO Meets with Interest Groups on Housing Survey***
- ***HUD Secretary Said to be Mulling Senate Run***
- ***HUD News: HUD Releases FY 2003 CPD Allocations; Full Speed Ahead for IDIS Modernization Plan***
- ***NCDA Notes: CDBG and HOME Training Dates Set; National Community Development Week is April 21-27, 2003; Washington Alert E-mail List; NCDA Annual Conference Brochure***
- ***Federal Register Notices***
- ***Job Announcements/Attachments***

SENATE COMMITTEE HOLDS BUDGET HEARING ON HUD

The Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs called HUD Secretary Mel Martinez to testify before the Committee on March 4 to provide an overview of HUD's proposed FY04 budget and to answer specific questions related to the budget. In both the opening remarks of the Committee members and in their questions to Secretary Martinez, most of the focus remained on programs scheduled for elimination, reduction, or change, such as the HOPE VI program, HUD's public housing programs, the proposed new Housing Assistance to Needy Families (HANF) block grant, Brownfields, and the Rural Housing and Economic Development program within HUD.

The Republican members present at the hearing (Shelby, Allard, Crapo, Sununu, and Dole) concentrated their remarks on applauding Secretary Martinez on the good works of the agency and the proposed FY04 budget, particularly the emphasis on homeownership with the development of the American Dream Downpayment Fund and the sharp increase in housing counseling funds. The American Dream Downpayment Fund is a $200 million set-aside within the HOME program that would provide downpayment and closing costs for approximately 40,000 families, according to HUD. These same members also support the consolidation and streamlining of programs as proposed in HUD's FY04 budget, including the block granting of Section 8 Funds to states and the consolidation of HUD's homeless assistance programs.

On the other hand, the Democratic members of the Committee who were present at the hearing (Sarbanes, Reed, Carper, Corzine) focused their remarks on the lack of funding in HUD's budget, the transformation of the Section 8 program to a block grant, and the elimination of some programs. In his opening remarks, Senator Reed (D-RI) told the Committee and Secretary Martinez that, "This budget doesn't begin to meet the needs of housing in the U.S." Senator Corzine (D-NJ) followed up by saying, "...this budget will bring harm to low and moderate-income families." Some of the Republican members, on the other hand, felt differently. Senator Allard (R-CO), told the Committee that "federal agencies should be judged by results, not by the size of their individual budgets."

In his statement before the Committee, Secretary Martinez told the Committee that "creating housing opportunities remains an important part of the President's agenda." The top priority for HUD in the FY 2004 budget remains creating new minority homeowners through such initiatives as the American Dream Downpayment Fund, an increase in housing counseling funds, the creation of a Single Family Homeownership Tax Credit, allowing tenants to use one year's worth of their Section 8 vouchers for a downpayment towards a home, the Self-Help Opportunity Program (SHOP), and increasing funding for the HOME program. The President has set a goal of 5.5 million new homeowners by 2010. Martinez remarked that "the HOME program is a major tool for helping communities meet their housing needs" and because of the program's performance, the Administration proposed a 5 percent increase in the program for FY 2004.

Besides the focus on homeownership, Martinez told the committee that HUD plans to create a new Office of Regulatory Reform to reduce State and local regulatory barriers, and will focus on streamlining HUD's homeless assistance programs by consolidating its three competitive programs (Shelter Plus Care, Section 8 SRO, and Supportive Housing) into a single program.

In response to questions on the transfer of the Brownfields program to EPA, Martinez told the Committee that the Administration wanted all of the economic development programs administered under one agency. Senator Crapo (R-ID) asked Martinez if the program would be funded at its current level of $25 million, and the Secretary said "no," telling the Committee that EPA has only proposed funding the program at $10 million in FY 2004. Senator Reed (D-RI) told Martinez that EPA does remediation, not economic development. Martinez responded by saying that EPA will also continue the economic development function of the Brownfields program.

In response to questions on the elimination of the HOPE VI program, Martinez told the Committee that the program has not performed well in all areas, such as spending out funds in a timely manner and finding housing for all of the displaced tenants from the public housing developments that were torn down. Martinez said that, "tough choices had to be made in this difficult budget," and the Administration thought that since the program was due for re-authorization that now would be the time to fully examine the program and hold funding for the program until remaining issues and concerns surrounding the program had been vetted through this process. Reed responded by saying, "you've said it is a worthwhile program and the need is still out there, so why is it being zeroed out?" Martinez responded that only 14 of 165 projects have been completed to date. Martinez also told the committee that the program was established in 1992 and was designed to end in 2002. During the questioning, Martinez told the Committee that "I'm not here to trash HOPE VI. We simply need a discussion on how to improve it."

Senator Sarbanes (D-MD), ranking member of the Committee, questioned Secretary Martinez in a slow, deliberative manner. Sarbanes started his questioning by voicing his concern about a number of areas within HUD's budget. "I don't see how we can do this job, given the erosion of HUD's budget," Sarbanes told Martinez. Sarbanes went on to say, "Section 8 vouchers are not being fully funded, Public Housing is taking another hit (capital funds are being cut and we have a huge backlog of needs), Rural Housing and Economic Development is being zeroed out, Brownfields is being zeroed out, and HOPE VI is being eliminated." Sarbanes also questioned Martinez on the issue of the ongoing $250 million shortfall in the Public Housing Operating Fund. Martinez told the committee that management is now in place with oversight and other systems to prevent this from happening again. "This has been a terrible accounting problem," Martinez told the committee. Perhaps Sarbanes' best line of questioning came in the form of asking Martinez if he thought that Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld would have asked for a budget that was less than what was needed. Martinez declined to comment on his colleague.

Sarbanes was also clearly concerned about the future of public housing. He asked Martinez if the public housing stock would be expanded, while maintaining the existing stock. He also asked Martinez if HUD's commitment to the modernization of public housing is at a level to sustain the stock or if it the commitment was falling behind, leaving the chance that the stock will be lost through deterioration. Finally, he told Martinez that the Capital Fund has been cut each year since the current Administration took office. He asked Martinez if he envisioned HUD eventually exiting from providing public housing capital funds, essentially asking Martinez if the federal government will stop providing funds for this purpose. Martinez said "no".

Martinez received a number of questions on the Administration's proposal to block grant the Section 8 program to States. Martinez told the Committee that "We think States can better manage this program. Also, rent levels should be adjusted at the State level, not the Federal level." Reed told Martinez that the danger in a state block grant is that it may not keep up with local housing costs. He also told Martinez that when the federal social services programs were block granted to States, it resulted in a huge cut to the programs.

Finally, Senator Sarbanes told Martinez and the Committee that he wants to focus on specific aspects of HUD's budget in upcoming hearings.

HUD SECRETARY MARTINEZ APPEARS BEFORE HOUSE FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE

Continuing a pattern established a day earlier in the Senate Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs Committee, Democrats peppered Mel Martinez with tough questions about HOPE VI and other programs as he defended President Bush's FY 2004 proposed budget before the House Financial Services Committee on March 5. In addition to hammering Martinez on the budget proposal, several Democratic members of the committee accused Martinez of personally intervening to kill HR 3995, the affordable housing omnibus bill sent to the House floor by the Republican-controlled committee, in the final weeks of the 107th Congress. Members from both parties spent much of their allotted time extolling the virtues HOPE VI and expressing their disappointment in the Administration's plan to zero out that program.

Ranking Minority Member Barney Frank (D-MA) began his remarks by indicating that he is "seriously troubled by several aspects" of the proposed budget. Frank expressed concerns over the shortfall in the public housing operating fund and his disappointment that at the time of the hearing payments, to PHAs had not yet risen from 70% of need to 90% despite the passage of the omnibus appropriations measure. "It's a sad situation when we have to beg for 90% when even 100% is not enough." Frank voiced his suspicion that HUD was creating a new shortfall to address the current shortfall. He also stated the shortfall has exacerbated problems that began with the zeroing out of the $300 million drug elimination program last year.

Housing and Community Opportunity Subcommittee Chairman Bob Ney (R-OH) indicated that he was disappointed by the zeroing out of BEDI in the President's FY 2004 budget proposal. He said it would be unfortunate not to have money for the program at the very moment that fellow committee member Gary Miller (R-CA) has a bill that would decouple BEDI from Section 108. Maxine Waters (D-CA), the subcommittee's ranking member, went so far as to say to Martinez "you're going to be made to feel a bit uncomfortable" as she began to deliver her prepared remarks. Waters made it clear that she believes the proposed budget doesn't include enough funding to address the "growing homelessness problem." The problem is "not just a 'permanent homeless' problem" according to Waters. Waters also praised the CDBG program and said that the program needed to be increased. Rep. Christopher Shays (R-CT) also echoed this request.

Rep. Frank provided several of the hearing's more dramatic moments. Frank repeatedly pressed Martinez to reveal whether he had personally intervened to block the House's consideration of HR 3995 during the 107th Congress. At one point, Frank shouted, "Did you intervene?! Did you intervene?!" Martinez admitted to having disliked aspects of the legislation, but denied being personally responsible for its demise.

A few moments later, Frank asked Martinez if the Administration had been disappointed by the recommendations in the final report of the Millennial Housing Commission, which suggested that it would take 250,000 new units for the next 20 years to meet the housing needs of low income Americans. Martinez had lauded the small increase for the HOME Program included in the proposed budget, and Frank pressured Martinez to reveal how many new units HUD believed that increase would produce. After some back and forth, Martinez was handed a piece of paper and informed Frank that the increase would lead to an increase of approximately 5,500 units. Frank described this number as "wholly inadequate." Later, when Martinez admitted that it would be another four weeks before PHAs received a bump to 90% of need, Frank castigated the Secretary again. "How can you fund them at 70% but not know what 90% is? It's called arithmetic!"

An important moment for NCDA's membership came when Rep. Sue Kelly (R-NY) asked Martinez whether the Administration would resurrect the "wealthiest communities proposal" within CDBG Martinez told Kelly that she had "won the battle" and that the proposal is dead. Like almost every other member of the committee who spoke or asked questions, Kelly pressed Martinez on the elimination of HOPE VI.

Bernie Sanders (I-VT) announced that he was reintroducing legislation authorizing a National Affordable Housing Trust Fund, a proposal that has been endorsed "by over 4000 organizations." Sanders claimed that this bill would triple affordable housing production, and he revealed that the U.S. Conference of Mayors has decided to endorse the legislation.

One of the hearing's more interesting exchanges came when Rep. Joe Hensarling (R-TX) brought up the Administration's proposal to block grant Section 8. Hensarling said that block-granting Section 8 would mean block-granting sixty percent of HUD's budget. "Why stop there?" he asked. "Perhaps we shouldn't," Martinez replied.

NCDA PROVIDES FEEDBACK ON PROPOSED LEAD-ABATEMENT TAX CREDIT

Chandra Western and Vicki Watson have been working with Senator Clinton's staff on the creation of a tax credit for lead abatement. Senator Clinton has drafted a bill that would create two tax credits: (1) a Lead-Abatement Tax Credit; and (2) a Window Replacement Tax Credit. The bill, the Home Lead Safety Tax Credit Act of 2003, is expected to be introduced in the next few weeks. Senator Clinton hopes to move the bill as part of the Democratic tax stimulus package, which is expected to be introduced later this year as a counter-proposal to the Republican tax stimulus package. If the Democratic tax package does not move (which it likely will not, since the Republicans control both chambers) Clinton may then try to move these tax credits as an amendment to the Republican tax package, so crafting the language to win Republican support is crucial.

In a meeting on March 18 with Leonardo Trasande of Senator Clinton's staff, Chandra and Vicki, along with Cassandra Matthews from the National Association of Counties, met to discuss the draft bill. Our major concern with the bill at the time was that the language was vague on whether or not communities could use their CDBG, HOME and other funds with these two tax credits. After much discussion, Clinton's staff person agreed to re-write the language to make it clear that these and other funds can be used with the tax credits. The language also seemed to clearly intend that only abatement costs could be covered. We brought forward the issue that many communities choose to do interim controls, which are less costly, rather than full abatement. Clinton's staff person told us that it was clearly the intention of the bill to fund both. He agreed to add "interim controls" to the bill, along with a definition of what such controls cover. We asked him to work closely with Senator Reed's (D-RI) staff in crafting this language, since they have worked closely with us and other interest groups on the lead-based paint issue.

We will continue to meet with Senator Clinton's staff to craft the bill and support its passage.

GAO MEETS WITH INTEREST GROUPS ON HOUSING SURVEY

On March 6, representatives from the General Accounting Office (GAO) met with NCDA and several other interest groups to garner feedback on a survey that will be sent to a sample of communities across the country regarding housing preservation. The survey is being conducted at the request of Rep. Barney Frank (D-MA). The primary focus of the survey is to obtain information on how local communities are using their federal, state, and local resources to preserve federally-subsidized multifamily housing. GAO will likely survey communities with a population of 150,000 or more. The survey is expected to be sent out in the next month or so. GAO will conduct visits with a small sample of communities beforehand.

HUD SECRETARY SAID TO BE MULLING SENATE RUN

The *Washington Post* recently reported that President Bush's chief political operative is trying to persuade Mel Martinez to wage a Florida-based campaign for the Senate in 2004. According to reports, Karl Rove believes the HUD Secretary, who has not denied considering such a move, would be a strong candidate and could bolster Bush's chances for a decidedly less complicated victory in a state that famously became the major battleground of the 2000 election. This is the latest news in the ongoing speculation over Martinez's tenure as HUD Secretary. Earlier rumors had Martinez replacing Norman Mineta as Secretary of Transportation. Other pundits have conjectured that Martinez is being groomed to succeed Jeb Bush in 2006 as Florida's governor.

HUD NEWS

HUD RELEASES FY 2003 CPD ALLOCATIONS

The much anticipated FY 2003 CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA progam allocations were released on March 3 with little fanfare. NCDA has reported on the "numbers" and placed them on our web site. NCDA staff have included with these figures the difference between FY 2002 numbers and those of FY 2003.

As you know, FY 2002 was the first year in more than a decade that the CDBG formula took a cut. Initially, the FY 2003 CDBG program allocation provided the program with a slight increase of $27 million. Alas, that did not last long as Congress instituted an across the board cut of .65% cutting the program's formula by approximately $1 million. This cut is pretty insignificant when discussing billions of dollars, but there were many communities that received huge cuts due to the effects of the 2003 Census. Those communities were hit even harder with the across the board cut.

Next year, we expect to see an additional 80 to 100 new entitlement communities come on board, and given the trend of CDBG funding in the last two years, increases are probably not going to happen. Therefore, unless we can convince Congress to provide an increase in formula funding, everyone will lose money next year.

One positive aspect of this year's budget is the increase to the HOME program. Even with the across the board cut, the HOME program received and increase of approximately $66 million overall and a $38 million increase after set-asides.

The FY 2003 Allocation's chart is on the last two pages of this issue of ***NCDA Washington Report*** and we have also provided as an attachment a HOME and CDBG FY2003/2002 funding comparison.

FULL SPEED AHEAD FOR IDIS MODERNIZATION PLAN

During a March 12 meeting with public interest group representatives, Robyne Doten announced that HUD has given Departmental approval to the IDIS modernization plan. A contract has been awarded, and IDIS users can expect a web-based front end by the end of the calendar year. Jeff Falcusan represented NCDA at this meeting.

Doten began the meeting by announcing that "the planets have aligned." The required amount of funds was appropriated in the FY 2003 omnibus appropriations measure, and CPD has been given the green light by the Department to implement the IDIS migration strategy. Accordingly, HUD awarded a contract to the DC-based firm Paradigm Solutions on March 11. The next step in the process is the issuing of a task order, which should take place within 3 weeks.

As previously reported in the Washington Report, the process of modernizing IDIS will unfold in two phases. The first phase will involve the creation of a web-based front end for the current IDIS system. This phase will not involve much in the way of new functionality, with the possible exception of adding the American Dream Downpayment initiative. Doten is extremely confident that the web-based front end, which will most likely resemble the proof of concept shown to interest group representatives last year, should be in place by the end of the calendar year. The second phase, which will run concurrently with the first phase but last much longer, will involve the total reengineering of IDIS.

Doten emphasized that feedback from IDIS users will be critical to the success of the modernization process. Doten's team is in the process of putting together a Change Control Board, which will allow for the management and prioritization of stakeholder requests throughout the IDIS migration. IDIS users will submit comments, suggestions, and concerns to Paradigm Solutions, which will analyze their feedback and produce cost and impact estimates. Those estimates will be passed along to the Change Control Board, which will then decide which requests should be pursued, and in which order. Doten is committed to ensuring that grantees are represented on the Change Control Board, but she is not yet sure what form this representation will take. It is possible that a grantee could be a regular member of the board, but this scenario would almost certainly require a substantial investment of time on the grantee's part.

Doten was asked how the reengineered IDIS would support the federal government's increased emphasis on outcome measures. Doten indicated that the initial focus will be on outputs, since they simply do not yet have enough information to engineer outcome measurements into the system. Because they are ready to move forward with IDIS reform now, they do not want to wait until the role that outcome measures will play becomes clearer. It is assumed that features supporting performance-based outcome measurements can be built into the system at a later date, since the system will feature fully scalable architecture. Doten did inform the interest group representatives that Community 2020 will be decommissioned during the second phase of modernization process. That system's functionality will be fully integrated into the new version of IDIS.

Doten indicated that her team's greatest challenge at the project's onset will be in keeping enhancements to a minimum during the first phase. Fewer Phase 1 enhancements will allow for a faster transition of resources to the project's second phase, where the more significant enhancements and improvements will take place. Doten is interested in getting grantees' opinions on the following question: What improvements must be included in the first phase of the modernization process in order for it to be considered a success? If you have any thoughts on this question, please email them to Jeff Falcusan at jeff@ncdaonline.org.

NCDA NOTES

HOME TRAINING

NCDA will hold the following training on the HOME program in May and June.

HOME Refresher Course Training
NCDA Region IV Annual Conference
Macon, Georgia
Saturday, May 3
9:00 a.m. to 2:30 p.m.
The registration form is enclosed.

Managing Your PJ-CHDO Relationship
NCDA Annual Conference
Washington, D.C.
Tuesday, June 24
9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
The registration form is enclosed.

Please contact Vicki Watson at 202-887-5532 on questions regarding these two training sessions.

CDBG TRAINING

CDBG Basics: Training for Practitioners
Dayton, OH
May 19-21 at the Crown Plaza Hotel
Registration Forms and Agenda Attached

CDBG Basics: Training for Practitioners
Washington, DC
June 23-25 at the Washington Marriott
Registration Forms and Agenda Attached

WASHINGTON ALERT EMAIL LIST

The NCDA Member Listserv allows members to exchange information with each other and with NCDA staff. NCDA staff have also used this listserv to broadcast announcements to the membership. Unfortunately, many of our members have chosen to unsubscribe from the Member Listserv because they believe they are receiving too many extraneous messages. This impedes the ability of NCDA staff to transmit urgent information to the membership, information that often cannot wait until the next edition of the *Washington Report*.

To remedy this situation, the NCDA staff has developed a new "Washington Alert" email list that will be used to relay important staff announcements to the NCDA membership. NCDA members will not be able to reply directly to these messages, although members are welcome to direct replies to NCDA staff members at their individual email addresses when necessary.

If you are already subscribed to the original NCDA Member Listserv, your email address has already been added to the Washington Alert list. If you are not subscribed to the NCDA Member Listserv please visit the Members Only section of NCDAonline.org and add your email address to the Washington Alert email list. You may also add yourself to the Member Listserv if you so choose.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding the new Washington Alert list, please contact Jeff Falcusan at (202) 887-5526 or at **jeff@ncdaonline.org**.

FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICES

March 11, 2003. Public Housing Homeownership Program (FR-4504-F-02).

This rule states the requirements and procedures governing a new statutory homeownership program to be administered by public housing agencies (PHAs). Under this rule, a PHA makes public housing dwelling units, public housing developments, and other housing units available for purchase by low-income families as their principal residences.

March 10, 2003. Manufactured Housing Installation Program: Standards, Training, Licensing, and Inspection (FR-4812-A-01). This document requests comments on issues related to the development of a national manufactured housing installation program. By December 2005, HUD is required to establish and implement an installation program that includes installation standards; the training and licensing of manufactured home installers; and inspection of the installation of manufactured homes. HUD's program will be implemented in states that do not have their own qualifying installation program.

March 10, 2003. Manufactured Housing Dispute Resolution Program; Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FR-4813-A-01). This document requests comments on issues related to the development of the manufactured housing dispute resolution program. Under the Manufactured Housing Improvement Act, HUD is required to establish a program for the timely resolution of disputes among manufacturers, retailers, and installers of manufactured homes regarding responsibility for defects in manufactured homes; and the issuance of appropriate orders for the correction or repair of defects in manufactured homes.

March 4, 2003. HOME Investment Partnerships Program; Correction (FR-4111-C-04). On October 1, 2002, HUD published a final rule, making several streamlining and clarifying amendments to the regulations for the HOME Investment Partnerships Program. The final rule inadvertently removed the 36-month time frame for purchasing a home under lease-purchase programs assisted with HOME funds. This document makes the necessary correction to the final rule.

JOB ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ATTACHMENTS

To view the attachments below, please go to NCDAonline (http://ncdaonline.org).

- *2003 National Community Development Week Products Flyer*
- *Housing Committee Minutes*
- *Registration Form and Training Overview for the May 3 HOME Training*
- *Registration Form and Agenda for the June 24 HOME Training*
- *Registration Form and Agenda for CDBG Training in Dayton, Ohio*
- *Registration Form and Agenda for CDBG Training in Washington, DC*
- *NCDA Annual Conference Brochure*
- *FY 2003 CDBG and HOME Allocations*

FY 2003 HUD PROGRAM FUNDING

Program	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 President's Request	FY 2003 Enacted	FY 2003 (-).65% Cut
Community Dev Fund (CDBG)	$5.0 billion	$4.732 billion	$4.937 billion	$4.9049 billion
Set-asides:				
Indian Block Grant	$70 million	$73 million	$71 million	$70.5385 million
Housing Asst. Council	$3 million	$3 million	$3.3 million	$3.2785 million
Nat. Am. Indian Council	$3 million	$2 million	$2.4 million	$2.3844 million
Tribal Colleges/Univ.	$3 million	$3 million	0	0
Wellstone Comm. Center	0	0	$9 million	$8.9485 million
Capacity Building-NCDI	$29 million	$30 million	$32.5 million	$32.287 million
Section 107	$43 million	$39 million	$49.1 million	$48.780 million
Technical Assistance	0	$3 million	0	0
EDI Spec. Purpose Grts.	$294 million	0	$261 million	$259.303 million
Youthbuild	$65 million	$65 million	$60 million	$59.610 million
Neighborhood Init. .	$42	0	$42.1 million	$41.826 million
Self Help Housing	$22 million	$65 million	$25.25 million	$25.233 million
Habit for Humanity	[$4 million]	[$5 million]	[$4 .25million]	[$4.222 million]
National Hous. Dev.	$5 million	0	$5 million	$4.967 million
National La Raza.	$5 million	0	$5 million	$4.967 million
Hawaii Homeland	$10 million	0	0	0
Working Capital Fund	$14 million	$3 million	$3.4 million	$3.3379 million
Colonias Gateway Init.	0	$16 million	0	0
Section 108 Credit Limit	{$609 million}	{$275 million}	{$275 million}	{$273.2 million}
*Section 108 Operating	$15 million	$7 million	$6.325 million	$6.283 million
Total Set-asides	**$659 million**	**$303 million**	**$569 million**	**$565.371 million**
Net Formula Allocation	***$4.341 billion***	***$4.429 billion***	***$4.368 billion***	$4.339 billion
HOME	$1.846 billion	$2.084 billion	$1.925 billion	$1.9124 billion
Set-asides:				
Downpayment Assist.	$50 million	$200 million	$75	$74.512 million
Housing Counseling	$20 million	0	$40 million	$39.740 million
Information Systems	$17 million	$1 million	$1.10 million	$1.0928 million
TA	$12 million	$12 million	$12 million	$11.922 million
Total Set-asides	$87 million	$213 million	$128.1 million	$127.267 million
Net Formula Allocation	***$1.747 billion***	***$1.871 billion***	***$1.7969 billion***	***$1.7851 billion***
Homeless grants	$1.123 billion	$1.130 billion	$1.225 billion	$1.217 Billion
Set-asides	$ 119 million	$ 7 million	$ 221.1 million	$ 219.6 million
Total Homeless Grants	***$1.00 billion***	***$1.123 billion.***	***$1.0039 billion***	***$997.374 million***
Housing Certificate Fund	$15.641 billion	$17.527 billion	$17.223 billion	$17.111 billion
Brownfields	$25 million	$25 million	$25 million	$24.837 million
Smart Growth	0	$25 million	0	0

Program	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 President's Request	FY 2003 Enacted	FY 2003 (-).65% Cut
HOPWA	$277 million	$292 million	$292 million	$290.102 million
Rural Housing and Economic Dev.	$25 million	0	25 million	$24.837 million
Public Housing Capital Fund	$2.843 billion	$2.843 billion	$2.730 billion	$2.7122 billion
Public Housing Operating Fund	$3.5 billion	$3.530 billion	$3.6 billion	$3.577 billion
HOPE VI	$574 million	$574 million	$574 million	$570.269 million
Section 202 Elderly	$783 million	$783 million	$783 million	$777.910 million
Section 811 Disabled	$241 million	$251 million	$250 million	$248.375
Lead Hazard Control	$110 million	$126 million	176 million	$174.856 million
EZ/EC	$45 million	0	$ 30 million	$29.805 million

[ ] included in NCDI amount
**   Not classified as a set-aside*

For a complete list of the CDBG set-asides, go to the NCDA web site, click on Important Links and go the Thomas Congressional site. Click on Congressional Record Text. Go to the search window and type in: H707-1276. This will get you to a page that shows the Congressional Record. Go to the House of Representatives section and click on H707-1276. This will direct you to a page that shows the Conference report. Click on Conference Report. Scroll down to page 1240. This begins the section where you find CPD programs. Pages 1240-1252 are the set-asides.